Gen I:I,2; Jn I:I-3 Heb II:3 I. Evolution Is a FAITH, not Empirical Science

"empirical" - "I. relying or based solely on experiment and observation rather than theory" WNWD. "b. Verifiable or provable by means of observation or experiment" AHD. The theory of evolution must trace back, at least to the point where inanimate matter became a living form. Consider the foundation of this theory.

- Unknown chemicals in the primordial past...through
- Unknown processes which no longer exist...produced
- Unknown life forms which are not to be found...but could through
- Unknown reproduction methods spawn new life...in an
- Unknown atmospheric composition ... in an
- Unknown oceanic soup complex ... at an
- Unknown time and place

Does this constitute a PROVEN FACT, or, "EMPIRICAL SCIENCE"?

"These evolutionary happenings are unique, unrepeatable, and irreversible. It is as impossible to turn a land vertebrate into a fish as it is to effect the reverse transformation. The applicability of the experimental method to the study of such unique historical processes is severely restricted before all else by the time intervals involved, which far exceed the lifetime of any human experimenter. And yet, it is just such impossibility that is demanded by antievolutionists when they ask for 'proofs' of evolution which they would magnanimously accept as satisfactory." Thodosius Dobzhanski (late Emeritus Professor of Zoology and Biology, Rockefeller Univ.)

"It is easy enough to make up stories of how one form gave rise to another, and to find reasons why the stages should be favoured by natural selection. But such stories are not part of science, for there is no way of putting them to the test." Personal letter (written 10 April 1979) from Dr. Colin Patterson, Senior Paleontologist at the British Museum of Natural History in London, to Luther D. Sunderland; as quoted in Darwin's Enigma by Luther D. Sunderland, Master Books, San Diego, USA, 1984, p. 89

"Our theory of evolution has become, as Popper described, one which cannot be refuted by any possible observations. Every conceivable observation can be fitted into it. It is thus 'outside of empirical science' but not necessarily false. No one can think of ways in which to test it. Ideas, either without basis or based on a few laboratory experiments carried out in extremely simplified systems, have attained currency far beyond their validity. They have become part of an evolutionary dogma accepted by most of us as part of our training." Paul Ehrlich (Professor of Biology, Stanford University) and L. Charles Birch, (Professor of Biology, University of Sydney), biology.

Evolutionists ask ...

Have you ever seen ... Do you know of anyone who has ever seen ... Can you demonstrate (by the five senses) ...

- God...?
- Universe originating by His Word...?
- Animals created...?
- Life created...?
- Man created and what from ...?

Creationists may ask ...

Have you ever seen ... Do you know of anyone who has seen ... Can you demonstrate (by the five senses) ...

- Life coming from non-life ... ?
- One-celled creature become a multi-celled creature ... ?
- Amoeba turning into a salamander ... ?
- Invertebrate becoming a vertebrate ... ?
- Reptile becoming a bird ... ?
- An ape turn into a man ... ?
- Or anything else turn into a man ... ?

BOTH evolution and creation demand FAITH

"Faith" = belief in those things that are not known by the five senses. 2Co. 5:7 "The fact of evolution is the backbone of biology, and biology is thus in the peculiar position of being a science founded on an unproved theory – is it then a science or faith? Belief in the theory of evolution is thus exactly parallel to belief in special creation both are concepts which believers know to be true but neither, up to the present, has been capable of proof." L. Harrison Matthews, FRS, Introduction to Darwin's The Origin of the Species

Evolution	Creation
Natural world	Natural world Psa 19:1; Rom 1:20; Heb 3:4
	Revelation Heb II:3; Rom 10:17; Gen I:1

Must consider the evidence and decide which is more reasonable to **BELIEVE**.

I. Evolution Is a FAITH, not Empirical Science II. LIFE comes from LIFE

Evolution assumes:

- Life began before intelligence (alternative: God!)
- Life began of itself (if on Mars...where that life originate??)
- All kinds of life forms have somehow come from it

There is NO "simple" form of life. ...cannot reproduce it! Copy cameras, electronics, cars ... life?!

Evan Shute, "Flaws in the Theory of Evolution" — the statistical probabilities of a simplified protein molecule coming about by chance. The probability is one in 10¹⁶⁰ (1 with **160** zeroes behind it). Allowing 500 trillion shakings per second for one such molecule to form would require according to statistical probability about 10²⁴³ billions of years (I followed by 243 zeroes). According to these calculations, for one "simplified" protein molecule to form by chance would require more volume than the calculated universe and more time than the evolutionary universe.

Evolution	Creation
Probability ONE simplified protein molecule by CHANCE = 1 in 10 ¹⁶⁰ ! 500,000,000,000,000 shakings per second - 10243 billions of years! No miracles?!	 Law of Biogenesis "Pasterurized" Milk Gen 1:111,20,24,26 Jn 1:1-3

Which do you BELIEVE?

Evolution Is a FAITH, not Empirical Science II. LIFE comes from LIFE III. Everything begets "AFTER **ITS KIND**"

Evolution	Creation
Shark Lizard Possum Lemur Monkey Gorilla Man Life Nature Library under "Evoution" (1962) pp 130,131	 Flies beget flies Moths beget moths Humans beget humans What do YOU observe? Gen 1:111,12,21,24

Which do you BELIEVE?

I. Evolution Is a FAITH, not Empirical Science

- II. LIFE comes from LIFE
- III. Everything begets "AFTER ITS KIND"
- IV. Consequeces

Evolution	Creation
 God Jesus Christ Bible Might makes right Man = animal No absolute moral standard Rom 1:18-32 	Mt 22:36-40 • Love God • Love neighbor Php 4:8 Honorable Right PureLovely Good Report Gal 5:22-23; Col 3:12-15

Which do you WANT to BELIEVE?

Tim 6:20,21