Reasonaut Voice ? Stature? Rhetoric? XX But, SOME THINGCOUSEd this impression—WHAT WAS

It is pecifically on this occasion...? But can discern factors about I teaching that did fill people

with annex zement... "Jows": "uneducated"—Never been to our schools! How so teach...? V) 4

Home town "Where...? 1354 note: only 32-33 yer old Jesus' answer: VIb-17-from tood \$15 Good! See

727.79 g14, 23-24, 58" crowds"-pilgrins in Jov. for feast (?). Not citze us of the city (v 25) - they knew 1

"he rulers ("Jews") WERE seeking to kill him. I caple TODAY show their ignorance—not knew find out

facts re: No such his lovice (person as a Jesus" Point: Do not be swayed (intimidated by statuments made

in ignorance!

/	
Copies "Reason 60t" (#4,#6,#7,#9) (Besonate (#8) - correcting spelling? But, wrong word - sharle be resonant, hot resonate	Original (Pre asonaut" (Note a mis pelling - correct = "vesion ant") Intended meaning - strong & deep in tone" WNWD "Reasonaut voice?" Does "veasonaut voice" makesense? If not, favors mis pelling - "reasonaut" for "resonaut"
1) "Is that Stature" (#6)	(no "Is that")
D "Some THING" (2 words) (#5)	3 " SOMETH/NG"
1) "Specfically" (#1)	5 "Specifically"
D "one discerning factor" (#5) "can discern facts" (#9) calm discern factors" (#7)	6 " can discern factore"
(2) "2bout Jesus teaching" (#5/#6) (1) "2bout fearing" (1) (#9) (1) "2bout J. feat using" (#7)	5 "abort I. teaching"
("J. ferching did fill" (#1) ("tercing. Is that what filled" (# 6)	"J. teaching that did fill"
0 "2m2zzment" (#1) 0 "fruzzement" (#2) 0 "amajesment" (#5) 0 "amazzzement" (#7)	3 marement"
O"The Jews thought that Jesus was uneducated" (46) O"Sws? But uneducated" (#7) O"Jews: udedjucated" (?) (48)) (3) ""Jews"; uneducated"
1) I forme town "Where" (\$2) 1) "Home town "When?" (#6, #7)	6 "Hometown "Where?"
O" not 1354" (#3)	7 "M+ 1354"
1 Jus ' 2nswer" (#3)	D "Jesus" Dusher"
" See the rulers" (#2) (Skipped entire line)	(mare 4 127-29814, 23-24, 58 "crowds" (mare 4 this line

· One time through when copying
· Not have some one proof redd
· Time factor - return to me in weekerso
· Scribes metion bus
· Sooo + copies (MSS)!

other "errors" in the copies. Above is representative, showing "original" can be vestioned from copies, that they may have some errors.

Valviations in the copies indicate they are not reproductions of the same document, but point to a document believe the copies.

"Fenton John Anthony Hort, whose life work has been with the MSS... 'the amount of what can in any sense be called substantial variation is but a small fraction of the whole residuary variation, and can hardly form more than a thousandth part of the entire text." Evidence That Demands a Verdict, McDowell, p. 44. Fenton John Anthony Hort, 1828-1892 A.D., was a renowned textual scholar of the 19th century and served on the committee of the English Revised Version in the 1880s. ("Explaining the Variants" Case for the Real Jesus, Strobel, pp. 85-87) (My N.T. is 421 pages. One thousandth part of that would be .421, or less than half a page!)

"What can we conclude from the evidence? Virtually all of the 400,000 differences in the New Testament documents—spelling errors, inverted words, non-viable variants and the like—are completely inconsequential to the task of reconstructing the original. Of the remaining differences, virtually all yield to a vigorous application of the accepted canons of textual criticism.

This means that our New Testament is over 99% pure. In the entire text of 20,000 lines, only 40 lines are in doubt (about 400 words), 37 and none affects any significant doctrine." Geisler and Nix, A General Introduction to the Bible (Chicago: Moody Press, 1986), 475